IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.17705 of 2008
THAKAI MAHTO @ THAKAI SINGH & ORS
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 13/05/2008 Heard the parties.

Informant's niece Babita Devi was married nine years earlier with the son of the petitioner no. 1. Later one she was killed on account of her inability to procure desired dowry related articles.

Submission is that the petitioner no. 1 is aged about 80 years. He is the father-in-law of the deceased and the petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are the brothers of the husband of the deceased. The petitioners are living separately from the deceased and her husband since before the date of occurrence. Further submission is that death was after nine years of the marriage. So section 304B is not attracted. The informant is neither the father nor brother of the deceased rather he is the uncle of the deceased.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners Thakai Mahto @ Thakai Singh, Harish Chandra @ Harish Chandra Mahto and Ram Bilas Mahto are directed to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction A.C.J.M., Jhanjharpur in connection with Madhepur P.S. Case No. 82 of 2007.

avin. **(Shyam Kishore Sharma, J.)**